

STATEMENT OF SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW

In response to the April 3, 2009 Interview Summary, requiring the filing of a Summary of Interview by Applicants, Applicant is filing this Statement of Substance of Interview.

Applicant gratefully acknowledges the courtesies extended toward his representative during the telephone conversation of March 31, 2009. In that conversation, the Examiner agreed that Figures 1 and 3a-3c of the Moronaga et al. patent do not show a memory control device that controls recording to record on a memory past-transfer-history data which indicates whether the image file that is currently held in the memory has been ever transferred in the past from the memory to a different storing area or not, similar to the features in Claim 18 proposed in this amendment. However, the Examiner indicated that he would have to further study the embodiment shown in Figures 7-9 of the Moronaga et al. patent before withdrawing the rejection. As a result, the Examiner requested that Applicant file a written response, discussing this embodiment and explaining why it does not implicitly or inherently generate data indicating the claimed data transfer history. In response, Applicant is filing the current amendment, which discusses the embodiment shown in Figures 7-9 and explains why this embodiment is not understood to disclose or suggest the features recited by amended independent Claims 18, 21, 24, and 28.